

GAP V -- TABLE OF CONTENTS:

I. INTRODUCTION: GOALS, METHODOLOGY, AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

III. OVERVIEW OF ENTIRE FINDINGS RELATIVE TO ALL RESPONDENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>III/A. Respondent Data</i>	
1. Respondents by organizational size	III - 2
2. Respondents by organizational category	III - 4
3. Geographic parameters of responsibilities	III - 6
<i>III/B. Public Relations Budgets</i>	
1. Total average PR budgets	III - 9
2. Percent PR budget changes in last fiscal year	III - 12

3. Ratio of PR budgets to gross revenues (PR/GR Ratio) **III - 14**
4. Expected change in PR budget in next fiscal year **III - 16**
5. Percent of PR budget dedicated to evaluation **III - 18**

III/C. Reporting Lines/Staff Size of the PR Function

1. PR/Communication function reporting lines **III - 20**
2. Appropriateness of PR/Communication function reporting lines **III - 21**
3. Average PR staff size **III - 25**
4. Percent changes in PR staff size in last year **III - 26**

III/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies

1. Use of PR Agencies **III - 27**
2. Percent of PR budget allocated to PR agency fees **III - 29**
3. Nature of PR agency relationships **III - 31**
4. Number of PR agencies used **III - 33**
5. Reasons for working with PR agencies **III - 35**

III/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation

1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies **III - 39**
2. Use of PR evaluation methodologies, year over year ranking **III - 40**
3. Use of data from other functions **III - 42**

III/F. Respondents' Perception of Senior Management's Views/Support

1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to organizational success **III - 43**
2. Degree of management support for PR **III - 47**
3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously **III - 49**
4. Extent to which PR and reputational considerations factored into strategic decision making and planning **III - 51**
5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning **III - 53**
6. Likelihood of PR/Communications being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications **III - 55**
7. To what extent does the CEO believe that... **III - 57**

III/G. PR/Communications Functions

- 1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility **III - 61**

III/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated

- 1. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated within the PR/Communication organization **III - 72**
- 2. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated with other departments **III - 76**
- 3. Organizational description **III - 78**

Section IV: see following page

IV. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE COMPANIES

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>IV/A. Respondent Data – Public and Private Companies</i>	
1. Respondents by organizational size	IV - 3
2. Respondents by organizational category	IV - 3
3. Geographic parameters of responsibilities	IV - 4
<i>IV/B. Public Relations Budgets – Public and Private Companies</i>	
1. Total average PR budgets	IV - 6
2. Percent PR budget changes in last fiscal year	IV - 8
3. Ratio of PR budgets to gross revenues (PR/GR Ratio)	IV - 9
4. Expected change in PR budget in next fiscal year	IV - 10
5. Percent of PR budget dedicated to evaluation	IV - 11
<i>IV/C. Reporting Lines/Staff Size of PR Function – Public and Private Companies</i>	
1. PR/Communication function reporting lines	IV - 13
2. Appropriateness of PR/Communication function reporting lines	IV - 15
3. Average PR staff size	IV - 16

4. Percent changes in PR staff size in last year **IV - 17**

IV/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Public and Private Companies

1. Use of PR agencies **IV - 18**
2. Percent of PR budget allocated to PR agency fees **IV - 19**
3. Nature of PR agency relationships **IV - 20**
4. Number of PR agencies used **IV - 22**
5. Reasons for working with PR agencies **IV - 23**

IV/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation – Public and Private Companies

1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies **IV - 26**
2. Use of PR evaluation methodologies, year over year ranking **IV - 27**
3. Use of data from other functions **IV - 28**

IV/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Public and Private Companies

1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to organizational success **IV - 29**
2. Degree of management support for PR **IV - 32**
3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously **IV - 33**

4. Extent to which PR and reputational considerations factored into strategic decision making and planning IV - 34
5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning IV - 35
6. Likelihood of PR/Communications being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications IV - 36
7. To what extent does the CEO believe that... IV - 37

IV/G. PR/Communications Functions – Public and Private Companies

1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility IV - 39

IV/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated – Public and Private Companies

1. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated within the PR/Communication organization IV - 42
2. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated with other departments IV - 42
3. Organizational description IV - 44

Section V: see following page

V. CHARACTERISTICS OF GOVERNMENT AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT AGENCIES

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>V/A. Respondent Data – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies</i>	
1. Respondents by organizational size	V - 3
2. Respondents by organizational category	V - 3
3. Geographic parameters of responsibilities	V - 5
<i>V/B. Public Relations Budgets – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies</i>	
1. Total average PR budgets	V - 7
2. Percent PR budget changes in last fiscal year	V - 9
3. Ratio of PR budgets to gross revenues (PR/GR Ratio)	V - 10
4. Expected change in PR budget in next fiscal year	V - 11
5. Percent of PR budget dedicated to evaluation	V - 12
<i>V/C. Reporting Lines/Staff Size of PR Function – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies</i>	
1. PR/Communication function reporting lines	V - 13
2. Appropriateness of PR/Communication function reporting lines	V - 15
3. Average PR staff size	V - 16

4. Percent changes in PR staff size in last year **V - 17**

V/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies

1. Use of PR agencies **V - 18**
2. Percent of PR budget allocated to PR agency fees **V - 19**
3. Nature of PR agency relationships **V - 20**
4. Number of PR agencies used **V - 22**
5. Reasons for working with PR agencies **V - 23**

***V/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation
– Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies **V - 26**
2. Use of PR evaluation methodologies, year over year ranking **V - 27**
3. Use of data from other functions **V - 29**

***V/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support
– Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to organizational success **V - 30**
2. Degree of management support for PR **V - 33**

- 3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously V - 35
- 4. Extent to which PR and reputational considerations factored into strategic decision making and planning V - 37
- 5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning V - 39
- 6. Likelihood of PR/Communications being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications V - 41
- 7. To what extent does the CEO believe that... V - 43

V/G. PR/Communications Functions – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies

- 1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility V - 45

V/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies

- 1. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated within the PR/Communication organization V - 50
- 2. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated with other departments V - 50
- 3. Organizational description V - 52

Section VI: see following page

VI. HEALTH CARE, MANUFACTURING, AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATIONS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>VI/A. Respondent Data – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Respondents by organizational size	VI - 3
2. Respondents by organizational category	VI - 3
3. Geographic parameters of responsibilities	VI - 5
<i>VI/B. Public Relations Budgets – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Total average PR budgets	VI - 6
2. Percent PR budget changes in last fiscal year	VI - 7
3. Ratio of PR budgets to gross revenues (PR/GR Ratio)	VI - 8
4. Expected change in PR budget in next fiscal year	VI - 9
5. Percent of PR budget dedicated to evaluation	VI - 11
<i>VI/C. Reporting Lines/Staff Size of PR Function – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. PR/Communication function reporting lines	VI - 12
2. Appropriateness of PR/Communication function reporting lines	VI - 12

3. Average PR staff size	VI - 14
4. Percent changes in PR staff size in last year	VI - 15
<i>VI/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Use of PR agencies	VI - 16
2. Percent of PR budget allocated to PR agency fees	VI - 17
3. Nature of PR agency relationships	VI - 18
4. Number of PR agencies used	VI - 19
5. Reasons for working with PR agencies	VI - 20
<i>VI/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies	VI - 22
2. Use of PR evaluation methodologies, year over year ranking	VI - 23
3. Use of data from other functions	VI - 25
<i>VI/F. Respondents’ Perceptions of Senior Management’s Views/Support – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions’ contributions to organizational success	VI - 26

2. Degree of management support for PR	VI - 28
3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously	VI - 29
4. Extent to which PR and reputational considerations factored into strategic decision making and planning	VI - 30
5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning	VI - 31
6. Likelihood of PR/Communications being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications	VI - 32
7. To what extent does the CEO believe that...	VI - 34
<i>VI/G. PR/Communications Functions – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility	VI - 36
<i>VI/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations</i>	
1. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated within the PR/Communication organization	VI - 39
2. Extent to which PR/Communications are integrated with other departments	VI - 39
3. Organizational description	VI - 41

Section VII: see following page

VII. BEST PRACTICE COMMUNICATIONS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>VII/A. Correlations with Gross Revenue</i>	VII - 4
<i>VII/B. Correlations with Geographic Parameters of Responsibilities</i>	VII - 5
<i>VII/C. Correlations with Public Relations Budgets</i>	VII - 7
<i>VII/D. Correlations with Ratio of PR Budgets to Gross Revenue</i>	VII - 8
<i>VII/E. Correlations with PR Function Reporting Lines</i>	VII - 9
<i>VII/F. Correlations with Senior Management Rankings for Contributions to Success</i>	VII - 14
<i>VII/G. Correlations with Budgetary Responsibility for Corporate Social Responsibility</i>	VII - 16
<i>VII/H. Correlations with Budgetary Responsibility for Digital Media</i>	VII - 18
<i>VII/I. Correlations with Budgetary Responsibility for Issues Management</i>	VII - 21
<i>VII/J. Correlations with Function Integration</i>	VII - 23
<i>VII/K. Correlations with Descriptions of Organization as a Whole</i>	VII - 27
<i>GAP BEST PRACTICES RECAP</i>	VII - 34