

**GAP IV -- TABLE OF CONTENTS:**

**I. INTRODUCTION: GOALS, METHODOLOGY, AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**III. OVERVIEW OF ENTIRE FINDINGS RELATIVE TO ALL RESPONDENTS**

	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
<b><i>III/A. Respondent Data</i></b>	
1. Respondents by organization size	<b>2</b>
2. Respondents by organizational type	<b>4</b>
3. Geographic responsibilities	<b>6</b>
<b><i>III/B. Public Relations Budgets</i></b>	
1. Total average PR budgets and percentages of budgets allocated to salaries	<b>8</b>
2. Percentile budget changes	<b>11</b>
3. Ratio of PR budgets to gross revenues	<b>13</b>
4. Expected change in PR budget next fiscal year	<b>15</b>
5. PR budgets relative to advertising budgets	<b>16</b>
6. PR budgets dedicated to evaluation	<b>18</b>
<b><i>III/C. Structure/Size/Reporting Lines of the PR Function</i></b>	
1. PR function reporting lines	<b>20</b>

***III/C. Structure/Size/Reporting Lines of the PR Function (cont'd)***

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 2. How appropriate is this line of reporting | <b>21</b> |
| 3. Average PR staff size                     | <b>27</b> |
| 4. Percent change in PR staff size           | <b>28</b> |

***III/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies***

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Use PR Agencies                               | <b>30</b> |
| 2. Percent of PR budget allocated to agency fees | <b>32</b> |
| 3. Who is involved in agency selection           | <b>34</b> |
| 4. Nature of agency relationships                | <b>36</b> |
| 5. Number of agencies used                       | <b>37</b> |
| 6. Reasons for working with agencies             | <b>38</b> |
| 7. Opinions about working with agencies          | <b>41</b> |

***III/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation***

- |   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies                                 | <b>47</b> |
| 2. Use of PR evaluation methodologies by revenue category             | <b>48</b> |
| 3. Extent to which data from other functions is used in PR evaluation | <b>60</b> |

***III/F. Respondents' Perception of Senior Management's Views/Support***

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to success | <b>61</b> |
| 2. Degree of management support for PR   | <b>64</b> |
| 3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously                                | <b>66</b> |

***III/F. Respondents' Perception of Senior Management's Views/Support (cont'd)***

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 4. Extent to which PR and contributes to strategic decision making and planning                                      | <b>67</b> |
| 5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning  | <b>69</b> |
| 6. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications | <b>71</b> |
| 7. To what extent the CEO believes.....  | <b>74</b> |

***III/G. Communications Functions***

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility | <b>77</b> |
|--|-----------|

***III/H. Extent to which communications functions are integrated and coordinated***

- |   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 1. <i>Respondents' Perception of Organizational Climate</i> | <b>90</b> |
|---|-----------|

**Section IV: see following page.**

**IV. CHARACTERISTICS OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE COMPANIES**

**PAGE**

***IV/A. Respondent Data – Public and Private Companies***

- |                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. Respondents by size         | 2 |
| 2. Respondents by type         | 2 |
| 3. Geographic responsibilities | 3 |

***IV/B. Public Relations Budgets – Public vs. Private Companies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Total average PR budgets and percentages of budgets allocated to salaries | 4  |
| 2. Changes in PR budgets   | 6  |
| 3. Ratio of gross revenues to PR budgets (PR/GR Ratio)                       | 7  |
| 4. Expected changes in PR budget, next fiscal year                           | 10 |
| 5. Percent size of PR budget relative to advertising budget                  | 11 |
| 6. Percentage of PR budget dedicated to measurement and evaluation           | 12 |

***IV/C. Structure/Size/Reporting Lines of PR Function – Public and Private Companies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. PR function reporting lines               | 13 |
| 2. How appropriate is this line of reporting | 15 |
| 3. Average PR staff size                     | 16 |
| 4. Percent change in PR staff size           | 17 |

***IV/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Public and Private Companies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Use PR agencies                               | 18 |
| 2. Percent of PR budget allocated to agency fees | 19 |

***IV/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Public and Private Companies  
(cont'd)***

3. Who is involved in agency selection	20
4. Nature of agency relationships	22
5. Number of agencies used	24
6. Reasons for working with agencies	25
7. Opinions about working with agencies	28

***IV/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation – Public and Private  
Companies***

1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies	32
2. Extent to which data from other organizational functions are used in evaluation	38

***IV/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's  
Views/Support – Public and Private Companies***

1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to success	39
2. Degree of management support for PR	43
3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously	44
4. Extent to which PR and contributes to strategic decision making and planning	45
5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning	47
6. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications	49

***IV/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Public and Private Companies (cont'd)***

7. To what extent the CEO believes..... **50**

***IV/G. Communications Functions***

1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility **52**

***IV/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated and Coordinated – Public and Private Companies*** **57**

1. *Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Climate* **58**

**Section V: see following page**

**V. CHARACTERISTICS OF GOVERNMENT AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT AGENCIES**

**PAGE**

***V/A. Respondent Data –Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. Respondents by size         | 2 |
| 2. Respondents by type         | 2 |
| 3. Geographic responsibilities | 4 |

***V/B. Public Relations Budgets –Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Total average PR budgets and percentages of budgets allocated to salaries | 5  |
| 2. Changes in PR budgets   | 6  |
| 3. Ratio of gross revenues to PR budgets (PR/GR Ratio)                       | 7  |
| 4. Expected changes in PR budget, next fiscal year                           | 9  |
| 5. Percent size of PR budget relative to advertising budget                  | 10 |
| 6. Percentage of PR budget dedicated to measurement and evaluation           | 11 |

***V/C. Structure/Size/Reporting Lines of PR Function –Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. PR function reporting lines               | 12 |
| 2. How appropriate is this line of reporting | 13 |
| 3. Average PR staff size                     | 14 |
| 4. Percent change in PR staff size           | 15 |

***V/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Use PR agencies                               | 16 |
| 2. Percent of PR budget allocated to agency fees | 17 |
| 3. Who is involved in agency selection           | 18 |
| 4. Nature of agency relationships                | 20 |
| 5. Number of agencies used                       | 21 |
| 6. Reasons for working with agencies             | 22 |
| 7. Opinions about working with agencies          | 24 |

***V/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies  | 27 |
| 2. Extent to which data from other organizational functions are used in evaluation | 30 |

***V/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to success | 31 |
| 2. Degree of management support for PR   | 33 |
| 3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously                                | 35 |
| 4. Extent to which PR and contributes to strategic decision making and planning          | 37 |
| 5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning            | 39 |

***V/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies (cont'd)***

- 6. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications **40**
- 7. To what extent the CEO believes..... **42**

***V/G. Communications Functions- Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies***

- 1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility **44**

***V/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated and Coordinated – Government and Not-for-Profit Agencies*** **47**

- 1. Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Climate **49**

**Section VI: see following page**

**VI. HEALTH CARE, MANUFACTURING, AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATIONS**

**PAGE**

***VI/A. Respondent Data –Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

- |                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. Respondents by size         | 2 |
| 2. Respondents by type         | 2 |
| 3. Geographic responsibilities | 4 |

***VI/B. Public Relations Budgets – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Total average PR budgets and percentages of budgets allocated to salaries | 5  |
| 2. Changes in PR budgets   | 6  |
| 3. Ratio of gross revenues to PR budgets (PR/GR Ratio)                       | 7  |
| 4. Expected changes in PR budget, next fiscal year                           | 8  |
| 5. Percent size of PR budget relative to advertising budget                  | 9  |
| 6. Percentage of PR budget dedicated to measurement and evaluation           | 10 |

***VI/C. Structure/Size/Reporting Lines of PR Function – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. PR function reporting lines               | 11 |
| 2. How appropriate is this line of reporting | 11 |
| 3. Average PR staff size                     | 13 |
| 4. Percent change in PR staff size           | 14 |

***VI/D. Use of Outside PR Agencies – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

1. Use PR agencies	15
2. Percent of PR budget allocated to agency fees	16
3. Who is involved in agency selection	17
4. Nature of agency relationships	19
5. Number of agencies used	20
6. Reasons for working with agencies	21
7. Opinions about working with agencies	24

***VI/E. Methods of Public Relations Evaluation – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

1. Use of PR evaluation methodologies	26
2. Extent to which data from other organizational functions are used in evaluation	29

***VI/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

1. How senior management views nine common corporate functions' contributions to success	30
2. Degree of management support for PR	33
3. Extent to which PR recommendations are taken seriously	34
4. Extent to which PR and contributes to strategic decision making and planning	35
5. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with strategic planning	37

***VI/F. Respondents' Perceptions of Senior Management's Views/Support – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations (cont'd)***

- 6. Likelihood of PR being invited to meetings dealing with important issues that may or may not have PR implications **39**
- 7. To what extent the CEO believes..... **41**

***VI/G. Communications Functions- Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

- 1. Functions for which respondents have budgetary responsibility **43**

***VI/H. Extent to Which Communications Functions are Integrated and Coordinated – Health Care, Manufacturing, and Technology Organizations***

- 1. Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Climate **48**

**VII. PATTERNS OF EXCELLENCE SUGGESTED BY STATISTICAL CORRELATIONS**

- VII/A. Correlations with the size of the PR budget* **2**
- VII/B. Correlations with PR Reporting lines* **3**
- VII/C. Correlations with appropriateness of PR reporting lines* **4**
- VII/D. Correlations with the use of PR evaluation methodologies* **10**
- VII/E. Correlations with senior management's view of PR's Contribution* **12**
- VII/F. Correlations with the issues management function* **14**
- VII/G. Correlations with change in PR staff size* **15**
- VII/H. Correlations with degree of communication integration* **16**
- VII/I. Correlations with organizational environment* **18**